

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/056,270 01/24/2002 Janusz M. Kucharski 100.323US01 8559 02/11/2003 7590 Fogg Slifer Polglaze Leffert & Jay, P.A. EXAMINER P.O. Box 581009 DINH, TUAN T Minneapolis, MN 55458-1009 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2827

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/056,270	KUCHARSKI, JANUSZ M.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tuan T Dình	2827
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). Status	CION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stition. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>24 January 2002</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice understand of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the appli	ication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	<u> </u>	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objectio		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are require		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (t).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu		
2. Certified copies of the priority doct		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation* See the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	omestic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application
	age provisional application has b	
a) \(\) The translation of the foreign langua 15) \(\) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for decision.	omestic priority under 35 0.5.0	00
	omestic priority under 35 0.5.0	

Application/Control Number: 10/056,270

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-26, drawn to an electronic device, classified in class 361, subclass 781.
 - Claims 27-46, drawn to a method for manufacturing an electronic device, classified in class 29, subclass 831.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the method can be made in vary different processes, for example: the method can be made by a first half top-circuit board having a first circuit disposing on a first (top) surface connect to a first ground plane disposing on a second surface of the first half top-circuit board, a second half bottom-circuit board having a second circuit disposing on a first (top) surface connect to a second ground plane disposing on a second surface of the first half bottom-circuit board, then electrically interconnecting the first and second ground planes with or without one or more circuit board layers.

Application/Control Number: 10/056,270 Page 3

Art Unit: 2827

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Specie I Figure 1.

Specie II Figure 2.

Specie III Figure 3.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims are not generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/056,270

Art Unit: 2827

Page 4

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T Dinh whose telephone number is 703-306-5856. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-1341 for regular communications and 703-305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

KAMAND CUNEO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

TD February 4, 2003